

DUSTIN M. HOWELL Judge (512) 854-9384

LISA K. RICHARD Staff Attorney (512) 854-9307

NICOLA J. JAMES Court Operations Officer (512) 854-9384



459TH DISTRICT COURT HEMAN MARION SWEATT TRAVIS COUNTY COURTHOUSE P. O. BOX 1748 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78767

December 13, 2018

Filed in The District Court of Travis County, Texas

DEC 13 2018 JC At 3-3 6 PM Velva L. Price, District Clerk

MCKAYLA MCHUGH Official Court Reporter (512) 854-9301

VICTORIA BENAVIDES

Court Clerk
(512) 854-5880

Roger B. Borgelt
Borgelt Law
614 S. Capital of Texas Highway, Suite A
Austin, Texas 78746
Via Email: roger@borgeltlaw.com

Michael Siegel Assistant City Attorney Law Department 301 West 2nd Street Austin, Texas 78701

Via Email: michael.siegel@austintexas.gov

Re: Cause No. D-1-GN-18-006839; Lori Hunt, et al. v. City of Austin; in the 250th District Court of Travis County, Texas

Dear Counsel:

As the Assistant City Attorney conceded at the November 29, 2018, hearing on the City's Plea to the Jurisdiction and Plaintiffs' Application for Temporary Injunction, the "wisdom of the City's decision" to rename Manchaca Road is not at issue here. The sole questions before the Court are: (1) does the Court have jurisdiction to entertain Plaintiffs' challenge to the ordinance renaming the road; and (2) if so, are Plaintiffs entitled to a temporary injunction enjoining the enforcement of that ordinance?

When considering a plea to the jurisdiction, the Court must "first look to the pleadings to determine if jurisdiction is proper, construing them liberally in favor of the plaintiffs and looking to the pleader's intent." Tex. Dep't of Parks & Wildlife v. Miranda, 133 S.W.3d 217, 226 (Tex. 2004). Applying this standard, the Court finds that Plaintiffs' claim—that the City failed to abide by the notice requirements—falls within the limited waiver of governmental immunity found in the Uniform Declaratory Judgment Act for a "proceeding that involves the validity of a municipal ordinance." Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 37.006(b). The Court additionally finds that by alleging that Defendant Lauren Seyda failed to carry out the mandated notice, Plaintiffs state a viable ultra vires action. City of El Paso v. Heinrich, 284 S.W.3d 366, 372 (Tex. 2009).

Defendants also challenge each of the Plaintiffs' standing. After considering the pre- and post-hearing briefing, the arguments of counsel made at the hearing, and the evidence submitted by both sides, the Court concludes that Plaintiffs Lori Hunt, Lynn-Marie Bonds, Darrell E. Rupert, MRBP, Ltd., Sylvia Vidaurri, Grania Patterson, ALT Properties, LLC, and Alycen Lucy do not have standing to bring their claims. In particular, these Plaintiffs have failed to carry their burden of proving an injury-in-fact. The Court likewise rejects Plaintiffs' assertion of taxpayer standing. However, the Court concludes that fact questions regarding Plaintiffs Charlie Baird and Manchaca Business Association's standing preclude granting the City's Plea to the Jurisdiction as to Plaintiffs Lori Hunt, Lynn-Marie Bonds, Darrell E. Rupert, MRBP, Ltd., Sylvia Vidaurri, Grania Patterson, ALT Properties, LLC, and Alycen Lucy and denies the Plea to the Jurisdiction as to Plaintiffs Charlie Baird and Manchaca Business Association.

Additionally, the Court considered Plaintiffs Charlie Baird and Manchaca Business Association's Application for a Temporary Injunction and hereby rules that it is granted.

Now that you have my ruling, please prepare orders, circulate them for approval as to form, and submit them for signature at your earliest convenience to Lisa Richard, at lisa.richard@traviscountytx.gov. If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Richard.

Sincerely,

Dustin M. Howell

Judge, 459th District Court

DMH/njj/lkr

xc: Ms. Velva L. Price, Travis County District Clerk