		2016 Target	2016 Actual	Target Met	2017 Target		
Cor	e Belief One: All students will graduate college-, career-,	and life	e-ready.				
Воа	rd Goal 1: All KG - Grade 2 students will demonstrate growth in so	cial emo	tional lea	rning an	d literacy		
skill	's.			_	•		
1	Student ratings on SEL personal development skills across all 10 common domains	N/A	3.41	N/A	3.44		
2	Achievement Gap Indicator: % KG-2 students reading on or above grade level	N/A	N/A	N/A	Baseline		
Boa	rd Goal 2: All Grade 3 - 8 students will be literate and numerate o	n grade le	evel. Any	3rd - 8tl	h graders		
who are not will grow more than one grade level in literacy and numeracy each school year.							
3	Achievement Gap Indicator: % of students reaching the Postsecondary Readiness Standard on STAAR Reading or demonstrating 1 year of growth	N/A	67%	N/A	72%		
4	Achievement Gap Indicator: % of students reaching the Postsecondary Readiness Standard on STAAR Writing or demonstrating 1 year of growth	N/A	44%	N/A	52%		
5	Achievement Gap Indicator: % of students reaching the Postsecondary Readiness Standard on STAAR Math or demonstrating 1 year of growth	N/A	67%	N/A	72%		
6	% grade 3 ELL students proficient in English or Spanish	75%**	70%**	Х	67%		
7	% grade 5 ELL students proficient in English	85%**	75%**	X	68%		
8	% of ELL students who remain at Beginning proficiency level on TELPAS Reading for 2 or more consecutive years	N/A	11.1%	N/A	9.0%		
9	% of ELL students in US schools five or more years who received a TELPAS composite rating of Beginning or Intermediate	N/A	13.3%	N/A	10.5%		
10	% of 5th and 8th grade students demonstrating proficiency on a problem-based learning activity	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Воа	rd Goal 3: All students will be prepared to gradu <mark>at</mark> e o <mark>n ti</mark> me <mark>and</mark> r	eady for	college a	nd caree	r.		
11	% of high school students demonstrating proficiency on a capstone project	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
12	% of Distinguished Level graduates	N/A	Class of 2018	N/A	N/A		
13	% of students enrolling directly in college (within one year of graduation)*	70%	65%	X	70%		
14	Achievement Gap Indicator: % of high school students graduating in 4 years*	88.3%	89.7%	✓	90.0%		
15	# of industry licensures/certifications completed by high school students	2,950	2,988	✓			
16	% of campuses with Recognized or Exemplary rating on the Coordinated School Health Report	N/A	49%	N/A	60%		
17	% of high school students participating in community service	62%	80%	✓			
18	Achievement Gap Indicator: Attendance rate	95.5%	95.4%	X	95.6%		
19	Achievement Gap Indicator: # of discretionary removals, emergency placements	204	126	✓	<u><</u> 130		
20	Achievement Gap Indicator: # of Home School Suspensions	N/A	6684	N/A	5681		
21	SAT/ACT/TSI participation rates of annual graduates	N/A	72%	N/A			
22	SAT/ACT/TSI performance rates of annual graduates	N/A	58%	N/A			
23	% of CTE coherent course sequence graduates	N/A	29%	N/A			
24	% of students submitting a college application	89%	89%	✓			

^{*}Data lag behind one year

^{**}Passing standard at 2015 standard

		2016 Target	2016 Actual	Target Met	2017 Target
					ruiget
OI	e Belief Two: We will create an effective, agile, and resp	onsive o	organiza	ition.	
		_	_	_	_
Sup	erintendent Constraint 1: Do not allow the district to operate unlav	vfully or ii	n violatioi	n of boar	d policy.
25	# of exceptions on annual financial audit	N/A	0	N/A	0
26	# of PEIMS ID errors is below threshold	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes
27	# of PEIMS under-reports is below threshold	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes
28	Parents are provided information on school performance	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes
Sup	erintendent Constraint 2: Do not allow the district to be fiscally uns	ound.			
29	Maintain minimum Unassigned General fund balance of 20% of operating budget	Yes	Yes	✓	Yes
30	Independent auditor's opinion of district finances	Unmodified	Unmodified	✓	Unmodified
31	Bond ratings within top three levels of major credit rating agencies	Yes	Yes	✓	Yes
32	% of campuses achieving enrollment target*	N/A	N/A	N/A	
33	Administrative cost ratio	< 0.1105	0.0683	✓	< 0.0855
34	New awards/funding from partners	\$5.0M	\$6.2M	✓	\$6.0M
Sup	erintendent Constraint 3: Do not allow campuses rated impro <mark>ve</mark> me	nt require	ed to have	e inequito	able
icci	ess to resources, effective programs, technology and experienced le	adership.			
35	% of parents/guardians who feel they are treated courteously by teachers at IR campuses	98%	98%	✓	
36	% Highly Qualified Teachers	100%	100%	✓	
37	# of tutors at IR campuses	N/A	1772	N/A	
38	# of mentors at IR campuses	N/A	1888	N/A	
39	Designate effective leadership at IR campuses	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes
40	% of classrooms at IR campuses with technology presentation systems				
41	per pupil expenditure at IR campuses meets or exceeds that of non-IR campuses				
42	% IR campuses receiving technology coaching training meets or exceeds that of non-IR campuses				
ир	erintendent Constraint 4: Do not allow the board to be without nee	ded decis	ion-maki	ng inforn	nation.
43	Board provided with all materials 7 days prior to meeting				

^{*}Data lag behind one year

^{**}Passing standard at 2015 standard

		2016	2016	Target	2017			
		Target	Actual	Met	Target			
Core Belief Three: We will create vibrant relationships for successful students and schools.								
Superintendent Constraint 5: Do not allow a negative, unfair, or unsafe student experience.								
44	% of students participating in extracurricular activities							
45	% of students absent 20% or more of the total days enrolled							
46	% of students who feel they are safe in their schools	89%	89%	✓				
47	% of campus staff who feel students at their school follow rules of conduct	81%	80%	X				
48	% of parents/guardians who feel their involvement is welcomed by teachers	98%	97%	X				
49	# parents participating in Parent Survey	18000	18,897	✓				
50	% of respondents satisfied with engagement at community meetings							
51	# of student/superintendent roundtables							
52	# of followers on active social media accounts							
Sup	erintendent Constraint 6: Do not allow the district to conduct itself	in an ined	quitable d	or discrim	ninatory			
mar	nner.		•					
53	% HUB participation on Bond funded construction programs				21.9% construction. 28.5 professional Services			
54	Provide staff with training in cultural competency or culturally-responsive instruction				Yes			
55	% students who say they like to come to school	76%	75%	X				
56	% campus staff who feel their school is a good place to work and learn	95%	93%	X				
57	# of service provider partners linked to campuses in Youth Services Mapping	95	112	✓				
58	% of staff members participating in No Place for Hate activities							
59	District and campus maintenance of No Place for Hate designations							
60	Achievement Gap Indicator: % of GT identification	N/A		N/A				
61	Achievement Gap Indicator: % of students in grades 9-12 completing Advanced/Dual Credit courses*	35.3%	35.9%	✓				
Board Constraint 1: Do not overstep the administrative functions that have been delegated to the superintendent.								
Boa	rd Constraint 2: Do not violate board policy or the board handbook							

Board Constraint 3: Do not undermine the superintendent.

^{*}Data lag behind one year

^{**}Passing standard at 2015 standard