

**Impact of Demographic Population  
Projections on AlSD Facilities by  
SY 2020-21 and SY 2025-26**

January 11, 2016

# Overview of Presentation


- ▶ Key Findings of Report
- ▶ Housing Trends
- ▶ Planned Residential Development
- ▶ Impact on Schools

# Key Findings

- ▶ Student population is expected to decline over the next ten year period, with SY 2025 estimated student count of 77,628, a reduction of 6,140 students (-7.4%) from SY 2015.
- ▶ Student count reductions over the prior three years, found primarily at PK and K grade levels, are due to lower birth rates and fewer children attending AISD schools five years after their birth year (loss of market share).
- ▶ Middle school student counts are expected to decline -13%, due to smaller elementary student counts matriculating through to middle school. High school student counts to grow through SY 2022, when smaller class sizes begin high school.
- ▶ Despite an overall decline, some areas of the District will continue to experience growth and overcrowding; most notably Northwest and Southeast elementary schools.

# Birthrate to Kindergarten

**Exhibit "A"**




# Housing Trends

## Governing Magazine – November 2015

Exhibit "A"

- ▶ Compares the availability of homes for middle-income families and the cost burden for those who are renting, for the 25 largest U.S. cities, including Austin.
- ▶ Home values were calculated representing the maximum home purchase families could afford by spending no more than the standard limit of 30 percent of income on housing.
- ▶ Home listings shown below represent shares of cities' total home listings that are affordable for a household earning the median family income (MFI), with maximum home values they can afford shown in parenthesis.


# Austin MFI - \$76,809



SOURCE: Listings data provided by Trulia, current as of September 2015

# Housing Trends


## Austin 75% MFI - \$57,607



SOURCE: Listings data provided by Trulia, current as of September 2015

# Planned Residential Development


- ▶ Over the ten-year period, 68 residential developments (11,337 units):
  - ▶ 3,840 Single Family Detached Units,
  - ▶ 3,361 Apartment Units
  - ▶ 3,224 Multi-Family Attached Units (duplexes, townhomes, etc.), and
  - ▶ 912 Condos
- ▶ Increases in student populations from new development continues to be limited due to increases in home value and rental rates.




## Student Residence Population Projections

- ▶ Projections are based on where students live, not where they attend school.
- ▶ This method provides the most accurate forecast of where shifts in demographics and student populations may occur, assisting the District with long-range planning.
- ▶ Projections by attendance area may be higher or lower than the actual school enrollments, as they do not consider students who transfer in and out of their geographic school boundary.


**2015-16  
Elementary School**



# 2020-21 Elementary School



**2025-26  
Elementary School**




## 2020-21 Northwest

Doss ES (174%), Hill ES (160%) and Davis ES (118%) are over the target range

Highland Park ES and Summitt ES are within the target range

Not enough available capacity in Northwest Region to relieve overcrowding

Continued growth through 2025-26




# 2020-21 North Central

Webb Primary (132%) is over the target range

Pillow, Cook, McBee,  
Walnut Creek,  
Guerrero Thompson,  
Wooten, Wooldridge,  
Brown, Gullett and  
Brentwood are within  
the target range

Reilly, Ridgetop,  
Padron and Barrington  
are below the target  
range




# 2020-21 Northeast

Maplewood (171%),  
Graham (124%) and  
Blanton (116%) are  
above the target range

Hart, Pickle, Harris,  
Jordan and Overton are  
within the target range

Andrews, Winn and  
Pecan Springs are below  
the target range


Available capacity in  
Northeast Region could  
relieve overcrowding



# 2020-21 Central

Casis and Bryker Woods are within the target range


Lee, Mathews, Barton Hills, Zilker, Becker, Travis Heights, Dawson and Galindo are below the target range



# 2020-21 East

Oak Springs, Norman and Allison are within the target range

Campbell, Sims, Blackshear, Govalle, Ortega, Sanchez, Zavala, Brooke, Metz and Linder are below the target range




## 2020-21 Southwest

Kiker (126%) is over the target range

Oak Hill, Patton,  
Baldwin and Clayton  
are within the target  
range

Mills is below the target  
range

Due to continued  
growth through 2025-  
26, available capacity  
in Southwest Region  
could relieve some, but  
not all, overcrowding




## 2020-21 South Central

Menchaca (134%) and Baranoff (116%) are above the target range

Sunset Valley, Odom, Pleasant Hill, Cowan and Casey are within Joslin, St Elmo, Boone, Cunningham, Kocurek and Williams are below the target range

Due to continued growth through 2025-26, available capacity in South Central Region could relieve some, but not all, overcrowding




## 2020-21 Southeast

Blazier (209%) is over the target range


Rodriguez, Houston, Langford and Perez are within the target range

Widen and Palm are below the target range


Due to continued growth through 2025-26, available capacity in Southeast Region could relieve some, but not all, overcrowding




# 2015-16 Middle Schools




# 2020-21 Middle School




# 2025-26 Middle Schools




# 2015-16 High Schools



# 2020-21 High School



# 2025-26 High Schools



- ▶ What questions does the Board have regarding the findings of the report, housing trends, or impact of the student population projections on AlSD facilities?